UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

TAREN PAYNE,

Plaintiff,

-against-

CITY OF NEW YORK, ADMINSTRATION FOR CHILDREN'S SERVICES; D.C. 37 (UNION),

Defendants.

24-CV-3860 (LTS)

ORDER TO AMEND

LAURA TAYLOR SWAIN, Chief United States District Judge:

Plaintiff, who is proceeding *pro se*, brings this action under the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, 42 U.S.C. §§ 12112-12117; the Family and Medical Leave Act, 29 U.S.C. §§ 2601 to 2654; the New York State Human Rights Law, N.Y. Exec. Law §§ 290 to 297; and the New York City Human Rights Law, N.Y.C. Admin. Code §§ 8-101 to 131, alleging that her employer discriminated and retaliated against her based on her disability. By order dated May 28, 2024, the Court granted Plaintiff's request to proceed *in forma pauperis* ("IFP"), that is, without prepayment of fees. For the reasons set forth below, the Court grants Plaintiff leave to file an amended complaint within 60 days of the date of this order.

STANDARD OF REVIEW

The Court must dismiss an IFP complaint, or any portion of the complaint, that is frivolous or malicious, fails to state a claim on which relief may be granted, or seeks monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B); see Livingston v. Adirondack Beverage Co., 141 F.3d 434, 437 (2d Cir. 1998). The Court must also dismiss a complaint when the Court lacks subject matter jurisdiction of the claims raised. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(h)(3).

While the law mandates dismissal on any of these grounds, the Court is obliged to construe *pro se* pleadings liberally, *Harris v. Mills*, 572 F.3d 66, 72 (2d Cir. 2009), and interpret them to raise the "strongest [claims] that they *suggest*," *Triestman v. Fed. Bureau of Prisons*, 470 F.3d 471, 474 (2d Cir. 2006) (internal quotation marks and citations omitted) (emphasis in original). But the "special solicitude" in *pro se* cases, *id.* at 475 (citation omitted), has its limits – to state a claim, *pro se* pleadings still must comply with Rule 8 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, which requires a complaint to make a short and plain statement showing that the pleader is entitled to relief.

BACKGROUND

Plaintiff initiated this action by filing the Court's Employment Discrimination Complaint form, naming as Defendants the New York City Administration for Children's Services ("ACS"), her former employer, and D.C. 37, a labor union. When prompted on the form to provide the facts underlying this matter, Plaintiff wrote "please see attached." (ECF 1 ¶ IVB.) Attachments to the pleading include a complaint that Plaintiff filed in the New York State Supreme Court, New York County, against ACS and the New York State Division of Human Rights ("NYSDHR"), a NYSDHR Determination and Order After Investigation, and a Notice of Right to Sue from the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission. (ECF 1 at 9-42.) The following facts are drawn from the complaint and attachments.

Plaintiff, who suffers from unspecified "physical & neurological" disabilities, was wrongfully classified as a "nonpermanent" ACS employee. (*Id.* at 4, 13, 20.) Thereafter, ACS denied Plaintiff "overtime payments" and other benefits available to permanent employees, and then "wrongfully" fired her. (*Id.* at ¶ V.) Plaintiff also checks the boxes on the form complaint indicating that ACS retaliated against her, failed to accommodate her disability, and either harassed her or created a hostile work environment. (*Id.* ¶ IV.) Plaintiff seeks money damages.

(*Id.* ¶ VI.) There are no facts alleged in the complaint regarding acts or omissions of D.C. 37 in connection with these events.

DISCUSSION

A. Short and plain statement of claim

Rule 8 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure requires a complaint to make a short and plain statement showing that the pleader is entitled to relief. A complaint states a claim for relief if the claim is plausible. *Ashcroft v. Iqbal*, 556 U.S. 662, 678-79 (2009) (citing *Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly*, 550 U.S. 544, 555 (2007)). To review a complaint for plausibility, the Court accepts all well-pleaded factual allegations as true and draws all reasonable inferences in the pleader's favor. *Iqbal*, 556 U.S. at 678-79 (citing *Twombly*, 550 U.S. at 555). The Court need not accept, however, "[t]hreadbare recitals of the elements of a cause of action," which are essentially legal conclusions. *Id.* at 678 (citing *Twombly*, 550 U.S. at 555). After separating legal conclusions from well-pleaded factual allegations, the court must determine whether those facts make it plausible – not merely possible – that the pleader is entitled to relief. *Id.*

Plaintiff's complaint does not comply with Rule 8. The complaint consists largely of attachments arising from a charge that Plaintiff filed with the NYSDHR and a state-court action that Plaintiff filed against ACS and the NYSDHR. These documents do not explain what occurred and how Defendants allegedly violated her rights while she was an ACS employee. In other words, the complaint does not include a short and plain statement showing that Plaintiff is entitled to relief under any of the statutes she cites.

The Court therefore grants Plaintiff leave to replead her claims in an amended complaint that complies with Rule 8 and the standards set forth below.

B. Americans With Disabilities Act ("ADA")

"The ADA prohibits discrimination against a 'qualified individual on the basis of disability' in the 'terms, conditions, and privileges of employment." *Kinneary v. City of New York*, 601 F.3d 151, 155 (2d Cir. 2010) (quoting 42 U.S.C. § 12112(a)). A person is disabled under the ADA if the person has "a physical or mental impairment that substantially limits one or more major life activities." 42 U.S.C. § 12102(1)(A).

This antidiscrimination statute prohibits an employer from mistreating an individual because of the individual's protected characteristics, *Patane v. Clark*, 508 F.3d 106, 112 (2d Cir. 2007), or retaliating against an employee who has opposed any practice made unlawful by those statutes, *see Crawford v. Metro. Gov't*, 555 U.S. 271, 276 (2009) (holding that conduct is protected when it "confront[s]," "resist[s]," or "withstand[s]" unlawful actions). Mistreatment at work that occurs for a reason other than an employee's protected characteristic or opposition to unlawful conduct is not actionable under federal antidiscrimination statutes. *See Chukwuka v. City of New York*, 513 F. App'x 34, 36 (2d Cir. 2013) (quoting *Brown v. Henderson*, 257 F.3d 246, 252 (2d Cir. 2001)).

At the pleading stage in an employment discrimination action, a plaintiff must plausibly allege that (1) the employer took adverse employment action against her, and (2) her protected characteristics were a motivating factor in the employment decision. *Vega v. Hempstead Union Free Sch. Dist.*, 801 F.3d 72, 86 (2d Cir. 2015). The plaintiff "may do so by alleging facts that directly show discrimination or facts that indirectly show discrimination by giving rise to a plausible inference of discrimination." *Id.* at 87.

To plead a *prima facie* case of failure to accommodate under the ADA, a plaintiff must allege that she is (1) a person with a disability under the meaning of the ADA; (2) an employer covered by the statute had notice of her disability; (3) with reasonable accommodation, plaintiff

could perform the essential functions of the job at issue; and (4) the employer has refused to make such accommodations. *See McMillan v. City of N.Y.*, 711 F.3d 120, 125-26 (2d Cir. 2013).

Here, Plaintiff alleges that she suffers from "physical & neurological" disabilities; that she was misclassified as a nonpermanent employee and denied benefits to which she was entitled; and that ACS wrongfully fired her. These facts do not show a connection between Plaintiff's disabilities and the decision to classify her as a nonpermanent employee, the denial of benefits to which she was entitled, or her firing. Without further facts, such allegations do not state a claim that Plaintiff's treatment or firing was either discriminatory or retaliatory. In addition, Plaintiff does not plead any facts suggesting that her employer refused her an accommodation that she requested because of her disability.

In light of Plaintiff's *pro se* status, the Court grants her leave to file an amended complaint to provide facts to support her claims under the ADA.

C. Family and Medical Leave Act ("FMLA")

The FMLA provides that certain eligible employees are "entitled to a total of 12 work weeks of leave during any 12-month period" for any one of several reasons enumerated in the Act. *See* 29 U.S.C. § 2612(a)(1). The FMLA covers, among other things, leave that is necessary "[b]ecause of a serious health condition that makes the employee unable to perform the functions of the position of such employee." *Id.* § 2612(a)(1)(C). Generally, plaintiffs may assert two varieties of FMLA claims, interference claims and retaliation claims:

In a general sense, an employee brings an 'interference' claim when her employer has prevented or otherwise impeded the employee's ability to exercise rights under the FMLA. 'Retaliation' claims, on the other hand, involve an employee actually exercising her rights or opposing perceived unlawful conduct under the FMLA and then being subjected to some adverse employment action by the employer. The two types of claims serve as ex ante and ex post protections for employees who seek to avail themselves of rights granted by the FMLA.

Woods v. START Treatment & Recovery Ctrs., Inc., 864 F.3d 158, 166, 168 (2d Cir. 2017) (cleaned up).

Here, Plaintiff does not state any facts suggesting that ACS violated the FMLA. Plaintiff does not allege that she requested leave under the FMLA, or that ACS either interfered with her right to take FMLA leave or retaliated against her when she sought to take FMLA leave.

Accordingly, the Court grants her leave to state facts in support of any FMLA claim she seeks to assert.

D. Labor Management Relations Act & National Labor Relations Act

Plaintiff names what appears to be her former labor union, D.C. 37, as a defendant, but her complaint contains no allegations against D.C. 37. It may be Plaintiff's intention to assert claims under the Labor Management Relations Act ("LMRA") and the National Labor Relations Act ("NLRA"). An employee may bring claims under these statutes if: (1) the employer breached a collective bargaining agreement, and/or (2) her union breached its duty of fair representation in redressing her grievances against the employer. White v. White Rose Food, 128 F.3d 110, 113-14 (2d Cir. 1997). An employee may sue the union or the employer, or both, but must allege violations on the part of both. See DelCostello v. Int'l Bhd. of Teamsters, 462 U.S. 151, 165 (1983)).

These statutes do not require an employee to exhaust administrative remedies with a governmental entity, *see Boyd v. Teamsters Loc. Union 553*, 589 F. Supp. 794, 796-97 (S.D.N.Y. 1984), but "the employee must at least attempt to exhaust exclusive grievance and arbitration

6

¹ The employer's duty to honor the collective bargaining agreement is governed by Section 301 of the LMRA, *see* 29 U.S.C. § 185; *White*, 128 F.3d at 113 (citing *DelCostello v. Int'l Bhd. of Teamsters*, 462 U.S. 151, 164 (1983)), and the union's duty of fair representation to its members is implied under the scheme set forth in Section 9(a) of the NLRA, *see* 29 U.S.C. § 159(a); *White*, 128 F.3d at 113-14 (citing *DelCostello*, 462 U.S. at 164).

procedures established by the [collective] bargaining agreement" before bringing such a claim, *Vaca v. Sipes*, 386 U.S. 171, 184 (1967), unless she "can prove that the union as bargaining agent breached its duty of fair representation in its handling of the employee's grievance," *id.* at 186. Moreover, there is a six-month limitations period in which to bring such a claim. *See DelCostello*, 462 U.S. at 172. That period begins to run from "the time when plaintiff[] knew or reasonably should have known that such a breach had occurred." *Cohen v. Flushing Hosp. & Med. Ctr.*, 68 F.3d 64, 67 (2d Cir. 1995); *see White*, 128 F.3d at 114.

Plaintiff provides no facts at all regarding any acts or omissions on the part of D.C. 37.

The Court grants Plaintiff leave to set forth facts in support of any claims she may wish to assert against D.C. 37.

LEAVE TO AMEND

Plaintiff proceeds in this matter without the benefit of an attorney. District courts generally should grant a self-represented plaintiff an opportunity to amend a complaint to cure its defects, unless amendment would be futile. *See Hill v. Curcione*, 657 F.3d 116, 123-24 (2d Cir. 2011); *Salahuddin v. Cuomo*, 861 F.2d 40, 42 (2d Cir. 1988). Indeed, the Second Circuit has cautioned that district courts "should not dismiss [a *pro se* complaint] without granting leave to amend at least once when a liberal reading of the complaint gives any indication that a valid claim might be stated." *Cuoco v. Moritsugu*, 222 F.3d 99, 112 (2d Cir. 2000) (quoting *Gomez v. USAA Fed. Sav. Bank*, 171 F.3d 794, 795 (2d Cir. 1999)). Because Plaintiff may be able to allege additional facts to state valid claims arising out of her former employment with ACS, against ACS and her union, the Court grants Plaintiff 60 days' leave to amend her complaint to detail those claims.

Plaintiff is granted leave to amend her complaint to provide more facts about her claims.

In the "Statement of Claim" section of the amended complaint form, Plaintiff must provide a

short and plain statement of the relevant facts supporting each claim against each defendant. If Plaintiff has an address for any named defendant, Plaintiff must provide it. Plaintiff should include all of the information in the amended complaint that Plaintiff wants the Court to consider in deciding whether the amended complaint states a claim for relief. That information should include:

- a) the names and titles of all relevant people;
- b) a description of all relevant events, including what each defendant did or failed to do, the approximate date and time of each event, and the general location where each event occurred:
- c) a description of the injuries Plaintiff suffered; and
- d) the relief Plaintiff seeks, such as money damages, injunctive relief, or declaratory relief.

Essentially, Plaintiff's amended complaint should tell the Court: who violated her federally protected rights and how; when and where such violations occurred; and why Plaintiff is entitled to relief.

Because Plaintiff's amended complaint will completely replace, not supplement, the original complaint, any facts or claims that Plaintiff wants to include from the original complaint must be repeated in the amended complaint.

CONCLUSION

Plaintiff is granted leave to file an amended complaint that complies with the standards set forth above. Plaintiff must submit the amended complaint to this Court's Pro Se Intake Unit within sixty days of the date of this order, caption the document as an "Amended Complaint," and label the document with docket number 24-CV-3860 (LTS). An Amended Complaint for Employment Discrimination form is attached to this order. No summons will issue at this time. If Plaintiff fails to comply within the time allowed, and she cannot show good cause to excuse such

failure, the complaint will be dismissed for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted.

Plaintiff may receive court documents by email by completing the attached form, Consent to Electronic Service.²

The Court certifies under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3) that any appeal from this order would not be taken in good faith, and therefore IFP status is denied for the purpose of an appeal. *Cf. Coppedge v. United States*, 369 U.S. 438, 444-45 (1962) (holding that an appellant demonstrates good faith when he seeks review of a nonfrivolous issue).

SO ORDERED.

Dated:

August 19, 2024

New York, New York

/s/ Laura Taylor Swain

LAURA TAYLOR SWAIN
Chief United States District Judge

² If Plaintiff consents to receive documents by email, Plaintiff will no longer receive court documents by regular mail.

	DISTRICT COURT TRICT OF NEW YORK		
(In the space above ente	r the full name(s) of the plaintiff(s).)	AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR EMPLOYMENT DISCRIMINATION	
		Jury Trial: □ Yes □ No (check one)	
If you cannot fit the nam provided, please write " attach an additional she Typically, the company to the Equal Employmen	r the full name(s) of the defendant(s). tes of all of the defendants in the space see attached" in the space above and et of paper with the full list of names. For organization named in your charge at Opportunity Commission should be Addresses should not be included here.)	Civ ()	
This action is broug	ght for discrimination in employm	ent pursuant to: (check only those that apply)	
	to 2000e-17 (race, color, gender	district court under Title VII, you must first obtain a	
	621 - 634. NOTE: In order to bring suit in feder	ent Act of 1967, as codified, 29 U.S.C. §§ ral district court under the Age Discrimination in charge with the Equal Employment Opportunity	
	12117. NOTE: In order to bring suit in federal di.	of 1990, as codified, 42 U.S.C. §§ 12112 - strict court under the Americans with Disabilities Act, Sue Letter from the Equal Employment Opportunity	
		Law, N.Y. Exec. Law §§ 290 to 297 (age, n, sexual orientation, military status, sex, chacteristics, marital status).	
	131 (actual or perceived age, ra	Law, N.Y. City Admin. Code §§ 8-101 to ce, creed, color, national origin, gender, rship status, sexual orientation, alienage,	

Rev. 07/2007

I. Parties in this complaint:

A.		cist your name, address and telephone number. Do the same for any additional plaintiffs named. Attach additional sheets of paper as necessary.					
Plaintiff		Name					
		Street Address					
		County, City					
		State & Zip Code					
		Telephone Number					
B.	List all defendants' names and the address where each defendant may be served. Make sure that defendant(s) listed below are identical to those contained in the above caption. Attach additional short paper as necessary.						
Defen	dant	Name					
		Street Address					
		County, City					
		State & Zip Code					
		Telephone Number					
C.	The address at which I sought employment or was employed by the defendant(s) is:						
		Employer					
		Street Address					
		County, City					
		State & Zip Code					
		Telephone Number					
II.	Staten	nent of Claim:					
discription to sup in the	ninated a port those events g s, numbe	as possible the <u>facts</u> of your case, including relevant dates and events. Describe how you were gainst. If you are pursuing claims under other federal or state statutes, you should include facts e claims. You may wish to include further details such as the names of other persons involved iving rise to your claims. Do not cite any cases. If you intend to allege a number of related r and set forth each claim in a separate paragraph. Attach additional sheets of paper as					
A. T	ne discrin	ninatory conduct of which I complain in this action includes: (check only those that apply)					
		Failure to hire me.					
		Termination of my employment.					
		Failure to promote me.					
		Failure to accommodate my disability.					
		Unequal terms and conditions of my employment.					
		Retaliation.					

Rev. 07/2007 2

	Other acts (specify):					•
Note:	Comi		unds raised in the charge filed with the Equal Employment Opportunity be considered by the federal district court under the federal employment atutes.			
It is m	ny best	recollection that the alleged discriminatory acts occurred on:				
		Date(s)				
I belie	eve that	defendar	at(s) (check one):			
		is still	committing these acts aga	inst me.		
		is not	still committing these acts	against me.		
Defen	dant(s)	discrimi	nated against me based on	my (check on	ly those that apply and explain)	:
		race			color	
		gende	r/sex		religion	
		nation	al origin			
		age.	My date of birth isif you are asserting a cle	nim of age dis	(Give your date of bir	th only
		disabi	lity or perceived disability	,	(sp	ecify)
			are as follow (attach additi	onal sheets a	s necessary):	
The fa	acts of 1	my case a				
The fa	acts of 1	ny case a				
Note:	As ac	lditional charge fi	support for the facts of you	ır claim, you vment Opport	may attach to this complaint a cunity Commission, the New Yornission on Human Rights.	
Note:	As ac your Divis	lditional charge fi ion of Hu	support for the facts of you led with the Equal Employ	ır claim, you vment Opport vrk City Comi	unity Commission, the New Yor	

Rev. 07/2007

	has r	not issued a Notice of Righ	t to Sue letter.	
	issue	ed a Notice of Right to Sue	letter, which I received on	(Date).
	=	by of the Notice of Right to to this complaint.	Sue letter from the Equal Employ	vment Opportunity
C.	Only litigants allegin	g age discrimination must	answer this Question.	
		ge of age discrimination w s alleged discriminatory co	rith the Equal Employment Opport and uct (check one):	unity Commission
	60 d	ays or more have elapsed.		
	less	than 60 days have elapsed.		
IV.	Relief:			
			lief as may be appropriate, including	
(Desc	ribe relief sought, incli	iding amount of damages, i	if any, and the basis for such relief	:)
I decl	are under penalty of]	perjury that the foregoing	is true and correct.	
Signe	d this day of	, 20		
		Signature of Plaintiff		
		Address		
				
				
		Telephone Number		
		Fax Number (if you have	ve one)	

Rev. 07/2007 4